UNITED STATES DISTRIC SOUTHERN DISTRICT OI	F NEW YORK	
NICOLE STEWART, SHAN FITZGERALD, and SUMME on behalf of themselves and a situated,	NON R APICELLA,	
	Plaintiffs,	CAGENO
-against-		<u>CASE NO.</u> 1:21-cv-01217-MKV
NURTURE, INC.,		
	Defendant.	
STEPHANIE SOTO, individuall others similarly situated,		
	Plaintiff,	
-against-		1:21-cv-01271-MKV
NURTURE, INC.,		
	Defendant.	
NITA JAIN, individually and of all others similarly situated	on behalf	
	Plaintiff,	
-against-		1:21-cv-01473-MKV
NURTURE, INC. d/b/a Happy	y Family Brands	
	Defendant.	
	X	

X	
JODI SMITH, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	
Plaintiff,	
-against-	1:21-cv-01534-MKV
NURTURE, INC.,	
Defendant.	
LILLIAN HAMPTON, KELLY MCKEON, AND JEN MACLEOD, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	
Plaintiffs,	
-against-	1:21-cv-01882-MKV
NURTURE, INC., d/b/a Happy Family Organics and Happy Baby Organics,	
Defendant.	
[Additional Captions Referenced Below]	

## [PROPOSED] ORDER ON CONSOLIDATION AND SETTING DEADLINES

WHEREAS, there are currently sixteen (16) putative class actions pending in this District for violations of various state statutes and common law based on the same or similar facts and issues of law filed against Nurture, Inc. ("Nurture" or "Defendant"), including the five (5) above-captioned actions and eleven (11) subsequently filed actions, namely: Westin v. Nurture, Inc., d/b/a Happy Family Brands, No. 1:21- cv-02101-MKV (S.D.N.Y.); Strobel v. Nurture, Inc., d/b/a Happy Family Brands, No. 1:21-cv-02129-MKV (S.D.N.Y.); Skibicki v. Nurture, Inc. d/b/a Happy Family Organics, et al., No. 1:21-cv-02553-MKV (S.D.N.Y.); Wood et al. v. Nurture, Inc., No. 1:21-cv-03159-MKV (S.D.N.Y.); Gutierrez v. Nurture, Inc., No. 1:21-cv-03499-MKV (S.D.N.Y.); Gothot v Nurture, Inc. d/b/a Happy Family Brands, No. 1:21-cv-04997-MKV (S.D.N.Y.); Robbins v. Nurture, Inc., 1:21-cv-

05344-MKV (S.D.N.Y.); *Philippe et al. v. Nurture, Inc.*, No. 1:21-cv-06632-MKV (S.D.N.Y.); *Altuve et al. v. Nurture, Inc.*, No. 1:21-cv-06678-MKV (S.D.N.Y.); *Spencer v. Nurture, Inc.*, No. 1:21-cv-06861-MKV (S.D.N.Y.); and *Williams v. Nurture, Inc. et al.*, 1:21-cv-06918-MKV (S.D.N.Y.).

WHEREAS, entry of this Order will promote judicial economy, avoid duplicative law and motion and discovery proceedings and streamline adjudication of related matters.

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. Other than as described in paragraph two (2) below, the sixteen (16) above-referenced actions, only to the extent they name Nurture as the sole baby food manufacturer defendant, are hereby consolidated before the Honorable Mary Kay Vyskocil and shall hereafter be identified as: *In re Nurture Heavy Metals Baby Food Litigation*, Master File No. 1:21-cv-01217-MKV (the "Consolidated Actions").
- 2. Any and all personal injury and product liability claims for non-economic damages (collectively, the "PI Claims") asserted against Nurture in the above-captioned and listed actions, if any, shall not be asserted in the Consolidated Actions; instead, any and all such PI Claims against Nurture that arise out of the same or similar facts as alleged in the Consolidated Actions shall proceed separately.
- 3. Any actions asserting consumer protection type claims against Nurture hereafter filed in, or transferredor removed to, this District which arise out of the same or similar facts, shall be consolidated for all purposes with the Consolidated Actions, to the extent such cases allege consumer protection type claims against Nurture, when the Court is apprised of them. Any actions asserting PI Claims hereafter filed in, or transferred or removed to, this District which arise out of the same or similar facts shall be consolidated or coordinated with each other (and not the Consolidated Actions), as appropriate, with any other action alleging PI Claims against Nurture only to the extent they contain PI Claims, and discovery and other pretrial proceedings in those cases shall proceed separately;

however, any consumer protection type claims contained in such actions shall be consolidated with the Consolidated Actions.

- 4. The parties shall notify the Court of any other action which is pending or filed outside of this District which may be related to the subject matter of the Consolidated Actions and/or the PI Claims if and when they become aware of such actions.
- 5. Every pleading in *In re Nurture Heavy Metals Baby Food Litigation*, Master File No. 1:21-cv-01217-MKV, shall bear the following caption:

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re NURTURE HEAVY METALS BABY FOOD LITIGATION	)  Master File No.: 1:21-cv-01217-MKV
2.12.1.0.02.22.110.1110.1	)
	)
This Document Relates To:	)

- 6. When a pleading is intended to be applicable to all actions to which this Order is applicable, the words "All Actions" shall appear immediately after the words "This Document Relates to:" in the caption set out above. When a pleading is intended to be applicable only to some, but not all, of such actions, this Court's docket number for each individual action to which the pleading is intended to be applicable and the last name of the first-named plaintiff in said action shall appear immediately after the words "This Document Relates to:" in the caption described above.
- 7. The deadline for the filing of any motions in the Consolidated Actions seeking interim lead counsel appointment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g) is September 28, 2021 or ten (10) business days following entry of the Consolidation Order, whichever date is later. Omnibus papers in response to the Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g) motions shall be filed 21 days after the filing of interim lead counsel motions or October 19, 2021, whichever date is later. The deadline for the filing of any reply briefs is November 2, 2021, whichever date is later.
  - 8. The deadline for the filing of a consolidated complaint in the Consolidated Actions is

Case 1:21-cv-01217-MKV Document 46 Filed 08/26/21 Page 5 of 5

sixty (60) days following the entry of an order under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g). The deadline for the filing

of Defendant's response to the consolidated complaint is forty-five (45) days after the filing of the

consolidated complaint. Should Defendant move to dismiss the consolidated complaint, the deadline

for the filing of Plaintiffs' papers in opposition is forty-five (45) days following the filing of the

motion to dismiss. Defendant's reply papers shall be filed twenty-one (21) days after the filing of

Plaintiffs' opposition papers.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 2021

HONORABLE MARY KAY VYSKOCIL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

5